

# **Escapements of Chinook Salmon in Southeast Alaska and Transboundary Rivers in 2014**

by

**Philip Richards,**

**Todd Johnson,**

**and**

**Sarah Power**

---

August 2014

---

Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Divisions of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries



## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

Weights and measures (metric)		General		Mathematics, statistics		
centimeter	cm	Alaska Administrative Code	AAC	all standard mathematical signs, symbols and abbreviations		
deciliter	dL	all commonly accepted abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs., AM, PM, etc.	alternate hypothesis	H <sub>A</sub>	
gram	g	all commonly accepted professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D., R.N., etc.	base of natural logarithm	<i>e</i>	
hectare	ha			catch per unit effort	CPUE	
kilogram	kg	at	@	coefficient of variation	CV	
kilometer	km			common test statistics	(F, t, $\chi^2$ , etc.)	
liter	L	compass directions:		confidence interval	CI	
meter	m	east	E	correlation coefficient (multiple)	R	
milliliter	mL	north	N	correlation coefficient (simple)	r	
millimeter	mm	south	S	covariance	cov	
Weights and measures (English)		west	W	degree (angular )	°	
	cubic feet per second	ft <sup>3</sup> /s	copyright	©	degrees of freedom	df
	foot	ft	corporate suffixes:		expected value	<i>E</i>
	gallon	gal	Company	Co.	greater than	>
	inch	in	Corporation	Corp.	greater than or equal to	≥
	mile	mi	Incorporated	Inc.	harvest per unit effort	HPUE
	nautical mile	nmi	Limited	Ltd.	less than	<
	ounce	oz	District of Columbia	D.C.	less than or equal to	≤
	pound	lb	et alii (and others)	et al.	logarithm (natural)	ln
	quart	qt	et cetera (and so forth)	etc.	logarithm (base 10)	log
yard	yd	exempli gratia		logarithm (specify base)	log <sub>2</sub> , etc.	
Time and temperature		(for example)	e.g.	minute (angular)	'	
	day	d	Federal Information Code	FIC	not significant	NS
	degrees Celsius	°C	id est (that is)	i.e.	null hypothesis	H <sub>0</sub>
	degrees Fahrenheit	°F	latitude or longitude	lat or long	percent	%
	degrees kelvin	K	monetary symbols (U.S.)	\$, ¢	probability	P
hour	h	months (tables and figures): first three		probability of a type I error (rejection of the null hypothesis when true)	$\alpha$	
minute	min	letters	Jan,...,Dec	probability of a type II error (acceptance of the null hypothesis when false)	$\beta$	
second	s	registered trademark	®	second (angular)	"	
Physics and chemistry		trademark	™	standard deviation	SD	
	all atomic symbols		United States (adjective)	U.S.	standard error	SE
	alternating current	AC	United States of America (noun)	USA	variance	
	ampere	A	U.S.C.	United States Code	population sample	Var var
	calorie	cal	U.S. state	use two-letter abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA)		
	direct current	DC				
	hertz	Hz				
	horsepower	hp				
	hydrogen ion activity (negative log of)	pH				
	parts per million	ppm				
parts per thousand	ppt, ‰					
volts	V					
watts	W					

***REGIONAL OPERATIONAL PLAN SF.1J.2014.11***

**ESCAPEMENTS OF CHINOOK SALMON IN SOUTHEAST ALASKA  
AND TRANSBOUNDARY RIVERS IN 2014**

by

Philip Richards

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Douglas

Todd Johnson

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Ketchikan

Sarah Power

Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Douglas

Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
Division of Sport Fish

August 2014

The Regional Operational Plan Series was established in 2012 to archive and provide public access to operational plans for fisheries projects of the Divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, as per joint-divisional Operational Planning Policy. Documents in this series are planning documents that may contain raw data, preliminary data analyses and results, and describe operational aspects of fisheries projects that may not actually be implemented. All documents in this series are subject to a technical review process and receive varying degrees of regional, divisional, and biometric approval, but do not generally receive editorial review. Results from the implementation of the operational plan described in this series may be subsequently finalized and published in a different department reporting series or in the formal literature. Please contact the author if you have any questions regarding the information provided in this plan. Regional Operational Plans are available on the Internet at: <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/sf/publications/>

*Philip Richards*  
*Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish,*  
*P.O. Box 110024*  
*Juneau, AK 99811-0024*

*Todd Johnson*  
*Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish,*  
*2030 Sea Level Drive, Suite 205*  
*Ketchikan, AK 99901-6073*

*This document should be cited as:*

*Richards, P., T. Johnson, and S. Power. 2014. Escapements of Chinook salmon in Southeast Alaska and Transboundary Rivers in 2014. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Regional Operational Plan SF.1J.2014-11, Anchorage.*

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

**If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write:**

ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau, AK 99811-5526

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042, Arlington, VA 22203

Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW MS 5230, Washington DC 20240

**The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:**

(VOICE) 907-465-6077, (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648,

(Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078

**For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact:**

ADF&G, Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services, 333 Raspberry Rd, Anchorage AK 99518 (907) 267-2375

## SIGNATURE/TITLE PAGE

Project Title: Escapements of Chinook salmon in Southeast Alaska and transboundary rivers in 2014

Project leader(s): Philip Richards, Fisheries Biologist III; Todd Johnson, Fisheries Biologist II

Division, Region and Area Division of Sport Fish, Region I, Douglas









Project Nomenclature: F-10-30, S-1-6

Period Covered July–September, 2014

Field Dates: July 22–September 15, 2014

Plan Type: Category III

### Approval

Title	Name	Signature	Date
Project Leader	Todd Johnson		7/3/14
Project Leader	Philip Richards		7/3/14
Area Management Biologist	Kelly Piazza		7/3/14
Area Management Biologist	Dan Teske		7/3/14
Biometrician	Sarah Power		7.3.2014
Research Coordinator	Ed Jones		7.17.14
Regional Research Coordinator	Jeff Nichols		7-20-14
Regional Supervisor	Brian Frenette		7.20.14

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	1
PURPOSE.....	1
BACKGROUND .....	1
OBJECTIVES.....	4
Secondary Objectives: .....	4
METHODS .....	4
Aerial and Foot Surveys .....	4
Estimating Escapement Using Peak Counts .....	4
Comparison of Survey Method .....	8
Data Collection .....	8
DATA REDUCTION .....	9
DATA ANALYSIS.....	9
BUDGET .....	10
SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES .....	10
REPORTS.....	10
RESPONSIBILITIES .....	10
REFERENCES CITED.....	12
APPENDIX A.....	13
APPENDIX B.....	21

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 1.—Survey areas, peak spawning dates and spawner distribution of major Chinook salmon index tributaries in Southeast Alaska, British Columbia, and Yukon Territories.....	5

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure 1.—Location of selected Chinook salmon systems annually surveyed to produce estimates of spawning escapement in Southeast Alaska, British Columbia, and the Yukon Territories. ....	2

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
Appendix A1.—Latitude and longitude of Chinook salmon survey index areas (IA) and other survey landmarks. ....	14
Appendix A2.—Detailed 2005 Southeast Alaska Chinook salmon escapement surveys as entered into the Division of Commercial Fisheries database (ALEX). Includes all surveys where Chinook salmon were observed, many are not used to estimate escapement. ....	16
Appendix A3.—ADF&G salmon escapement survey form.....	19
Appendix A4.—Example of aerial sampling form. ....	20
Appendix B1.—Predicting escapement from index counts using an expansion factor.....	22
Appendix B2.—Peak aerial survey counts, estimated total spawning abundance $\hat{N}_L$ with associated SE's and approximate 95% CIs for large Chinook salmon spawning in the Keta River 1975–2010. Statistics in bold come directly from mark–recapture experiments in 1998–2000; all other statistics are expanded from counts based on the relationship between counts and estimates during years with mark–recapture experiments.....	26

## **ABSTRACT**

Estimates of Chinook salmon spawning escapement in the 11 Southeast Alaska index systems will be summarized for 2014. Chinook salmon index systems include: Situk, Alsek, Chilkat, Taku, King Salmon, Stikine, Unuk, Chickamin, Blossom, Keta rivers, and Andrew Creek. Spawning escapements will be estimated using aerial and foot surveys, mark-recapture studies, and weirs. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game and Fisheries and Oceans Canada use these data, along with age compositions data to make terminal and regional management decisions, and the Pacific Salmon Commission uses the data for coastwide management and stock assessment through the Chinook Technical Committee.

Key words: Chinook salmon, aerial surveys, foot surveys, mark-recapture, weir, inriver run, escapement, total run, age composition, Situk River, Alsek River, Chilkat River, Taku River, King Salmon River, Stikine River, Unuk river, Chickamin River, Blossom River, Keta River, Andrew Creek.

## **PURPOSE**

The primary goals of this study are to: 1) collect peak aerial and foot survey counts for the Taku, Blossom, Keta, Unuk, Chickamin, and King Salmon rivers, and Andrew Creek; and 2) summarize and report the total spawning escapement estimates for the 11 Chinook salmon index systems in Southeast Alaska in 2014, which include the Situk, Alsek, Chilkat, Taku, King Salmon, Stikine Unuk, Chickamin, Blossom, and Keta rivers, and Andrew Creek. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) and Fisheries and Oceans Canada (FOC) use this spawning escapement information to make terminal and regional management decisions, and the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) uses the data for coastwide management and stock assessment through the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC).

## **BACKGROUND**

Populations of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) are known to occur in 34 river systems throughout Southeast Alaska (SEAK), northwestern British Columbia, and the Yukon Territory, Canada. In the mid-1970s, it became apparent that some of the Chinook salmon stocks in the region were depressed relative to historical levels of production (Kissner 1974). As a result, a fisheries management program (ADF&G 1981) was implemented to rebuild depressed stocks of Chinook salmon in Southeast Alaska that included transboundary rivers (rivers that originate in Canada and flow into SEAK coastal waters) and non-transboundary systems existing only within U.S. lands. Initially, this management program included regulatory closures of commercial and recreational fisheries in terminal and near-terminal areas. This program was formalized and expanded in 1981 to a 15-year (roughly 3 life cycles) rebuilding program for the transboundary Taku, Stikine, Alsek, Unuk, Chickamin, and Chilkat rivers, and the non-transboundary Blossom, Keta, Situk, and King Salmon rivers (ADF&G 1981; Figure 1).

The objective of this program, which included regionwide, all-gear catch ceilings for Chinook salmon, was to rebuild spawning escapements to interim escapement goals by 1995 (ADF&G 1981). In 1985, the SEAK rebuilding program was incorporated into a broader coastwide rebuilding program for natural-wild stocks of Chinook salmon when the U.S./Canada Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST) was first implemented.






Figure 1.—Location of selected Chinook salmon systems annually surveyed to produce estimates of spawning escapement in Southeast Alaska, British Columbia, and the Yukon Territories.

One principal method of assessing Chinook salmon stock status is via the estimation of spawning escapement as judged against escapement goals. Since 1975, the SEAK Chinook Salmon Escapement Project has annually estimated escapements to selected index areas in a standardized program (Kissner 1982). Estimates of escapement are produced through various methods including weirs, mark-recapture, foot surveys, and aerial surveys. This operational plan identifies the methods used for foot and aerial surveys as well as analytical procedures for estimating Chinook salmon escapement from these types of surveys. Identification of methods and analyses used in weir and mark-recapture studies are referenced in brief, but presented in distinct Regional Operational Plans. Regardless of the approach used to estimate Chinook salmon escapement in the 11 SEAK index systems, the final estimates of escapement will be presented in a single document that will promote standardization of results and efficiencies in reporting and publication.

A weir is used to estimate total Chinook salmon spawning escapement on the Situk River (Marston *in press*); mark-recapture, foot, and aerial surveys are not used in this system. Mark recapture experiments employing different gear types (fish wheels, drift- and set-gillnet, rod and reel) are used on Taku, Stikine, Unuk, and the Chilkat rivers. Specific methods and analytical approaches used in these systems are presented in Williams (*in press*), Jaecks, et al (2014), Johnson (*in press*), and Elliot et al (2014), respectively.

Counts made during aerial or foot surveys are timed to occur during periods of peak spawning of Chinook salmon on a system by system basis, recognizing past observations of migration and spawning chronology as well as environmental factors that dictate timing. Nearly all of the aerial surveys have been conducted by five different individuals, the first from 1975 through 1987, his successor from 1988 through 1989, and the third from 1990 to 2010. From 2006 to 2010, two surveyors were trained to conduct the aerial surveys, one out of Juneau and one out of Ketchikan. These 2 surveyors have conducted the aerial surveys from 2010 to present day. Consistency in survey timing and observers, with respect to peak spawning activity and personnel, reduces the effects of temporal and observer bias associated with index surveys conducted by air or foot.

Expansion factors to convert peak counts of all Chinook salmon observed during index surveys to escapement of large fish have been estimated through escapement studies for all index areas, except the Chilkat River (Pahlke 2007; McPherson et al. 2003). The development of expansion factors has significantly improved the accuracy of estimates of escapements for most systems where in past years peak counts were the only measure of spawning abundance. Expansion factors and escapement estimates are evaluated and revised periodically as new information is available. In general, the expansion factors are developed by the use of mark-recapture experiments (as conducted in the Taku, Stikine, Unuk, and Chilkat Rivers) or weirs (Situk River) which are used in these systems where inseason data are needed for the conduct of fisheries, or where they are called for in management plans. The CTC standard for expansion factors requires at least 3 years of paired estimates/counts and a CV <20%. The resulting escapement estimates are provided to the Joint CTC of the PSC. In accordance with the PST, these estimates are used to ascertain progress towards meeting escapement goals for the Chinook salmon stocks of SEAK and transboundary rivers shared by the U.S. and Canada (PSC 1993). Appropriate fishery regulations are promulgated by ADF&G and the PSC to maintain escapements and to harvest any surplus production.

Other systems that are not included in the index program have been periodically surveyed, including the Bradfield, Harding, Wilson, and Marten rivers, and Aaron Creek. Surveys of Bradfield River and Aaron Creek have been removed from the objectives due to the difficulty and expense of coordinating those surveys while completing satisfactory surveys on index areas. Surveys of Harding, Wilson and Martin rivers are sometimes conducted because while those counts are important for continuing a long-term database on those systems, they are not index areas, and as such are lower priority for surveys.

Weather, logistical challenges, differences in run timing, etc., can make it difficult for a single surveyor to complete all the index surveys annually under even the best of conditions. The addition of a surveyor out of Ketchikan minimizes the chance of missing peak counts due to poor or changing weather conditions, and spread workloads across the region resulting in better survey counts. Because between-observer variability and bias can be significant (Jones et al. 1998), the new surveyors in Juneau and Ketchikan were trained and compared with the primary surveyor who conducted surveys from 1990 to 2010 to provide consistency and continuity in the data. Training and calibration surveys took place from 2006 to 2010.

## **OBJECTIVES**

Juneau Office:

1. Collect peak aerial survey counts for tributaries of the Taku River. Aerial counts will be made in the Nakina, Nahlin, Tatsamenie, Kowatua, Tseta, and Dudidontu tributaries.
2. Collect peak aerial and foot survey counts for the King Salmon River and Andrew Creek.

Ketchikan Office:

1. Collect peak aerial survey counts for the Blossom and Keta rivers
2. Collect peak aerial and foot survey counts for tributaries of the Unuk (Eulachon River, Cripple, Kerr, Gene's Lake, Clear and Lake creeks) and Chickamin rivers (Butler, Leduc, Clear Falls, Humpy, King, Indian and Barrier creeks and South Fork Chickamin River).

## **SECONDARY OBJECTIVES:**

1. Summarize and report the spawning escapement estimates for the 11 Chinook salmon index systems in Southeast Alaska including the Situk, Alek, Chilkat, Taku, King Salmon, Stikine, Unuk, Chickamin, Blossom, and Keta rivers, and Andrew Creek.
2. Train additional surveyors to count Chinook salmon in Southeast Alaska.

## **METHODS**

### **AERIAL AND FOOT SURVEYS**

#### **Estimating Escapement Using Peak Counts**

Large ( $\geq 660$  mm MEF, assumed to be 3-, 4-, and 5-ocean age) Chinook salmon spawning in selected index areas will be counted during, or shortly before or after the peak of spawning. Peak spawning times are well defined from previous surveys of these same systems over the last 20 years (Table 1). Index areas were selected on the basis of their historical importance, size of the population, geographic distribution, historical database, and ease of data collection. Index areas were originally described by landmarks and have since been defined by GPS coordinates (Kissner 1982; Pahlke 2010; Appendix A1). These counts will serve as an annual comparable

Table 1.—Survey areas, peak spawning dates and spawner distribution of major Chinook salmon index tributaries in Southeast Alaska, British Columbia, and Yukon Territories.

River	Tributary	Spawning peak date (historic)	Survey area	Spawner distribution	Remarks
TAKU RIVER					
	Nakina River	August-13	Grizzly Bar to canyon 3.2 km above confluence with Silver Salmon River.	Prime spawning habitat just above Grizzly Bay Kissner (1982)	Large numbers of spawning pinks and schooled sockeye will be observed in this area.
TAKU RIVER					
	Nahlin River	July-13	Telegraph Trail Crossing to forks about 48 km up-stream. Up each fork 1.6 km.	Most fish are found in index area III Kissner (1982)	Many sockeye in survey area
TAKU RIVER					
	Tatsamenie River	August-13	Tatsatua Junction to big Tatsaminie Lake.	Fish distributed throughout the index area Kissner (1982)	Sometimes semi-glacial. Survey should start by 10 a.m. Some sockeye in survey area.
TAKU RIVER					
	Kowatua River	August-13	Little Trapper Lake outlet to junction of small glacial stream that flows into Kowatua from south about 8 km below Little Trapper Lake.	Evenly distributed Kissner (1982).	Glacial survey, should start by 8 a.m. some sockeye in survey area.
TAKU RIVER					
	Tseta River	August-13	Upper barrier (falls) down-river to start of canyon.	Densest spawning in upper 3.2 km Kissner (1982).	Only Chinook observer in this tributary.
TAKU RIVER					
	Dudidontu River	August-13	End of canyon up-stream to 3.2 km past junction of matsatu Creek. Survey lower 1.6 km of Matsatu Creek.	Evenly distributed Kissner (1982).	Some sockeye sometimes present.
KING SALMON RIVER		July-13	All	Mostly in lower 4.8 km, but on years with large escapement, spawning occurs far upstream.	Many pinks and chums present.

-continued-

Table 1.–Page 2 of 3.

River	Tributary	Spawning peak date (historic)	Survey area	Spawner distribution	Remarks
STIKINE RIVER					
	Little Tahltan River	July-13	Confluence with mainstem Tahltan up-river for 16km to area where 762 m contour crosses the river.	Densest Spawning between Saloon Lake outlet and Tahltan junction. Kissner (1982)	Usually only Chinook in this System. Can be semi-glacial. Survey before noon.
ANDREW CREEK		August-13	Andrew Slough to barrier, include North Fork.	Evenly distributed	Pink, Chums and sockeye present
ALSEK RIVER					
	Klukshu River	August-13	Confluence with Tatshenshini up River to Klukshu Lake.	Evenly distributed.	Difficult to survey because of over-hanging trees. Many sockeye present
ALSEK RIVER	Takhanne River	August-13	Confluence with Tatshenshini up-river to falls.	Evenly distributed.	Survey in a.m. Windy in the p.m.
ALSEK RIVER	Blanchard River	August-13	Confluence with Tatshenshini up-river to bridge.	Many Chinook spawn up-river of bridge, but very difficult to observe. Survey to lake if clear.	Very glacial. Survey by 9 a.m.
UNUK RIVER					
	Cripple Creek	August-11	Confluence with Unuk up-river for 3.2 km.	Evenly distributed.	Semi-glacial. Survey in early a.m. by foot. Poor surveys by helicopter.
UNUK RIVER	Genes lake Creek	August-13	Confluence with Genes Lake up river for about 6.5 km.	Evenly distributed.	Many sockeye in area. Survey by foot. Poor surveys by helicopter.
	Eulachon River	August-13	1.6 km below forks up left fork 1 km to barrier, right fork to barrier about 4.8 km up-steam.	Evenly distributed.	Some Chinook will still be in holes below forks until late August.
UNUK RIVER	Clear Creek	August-12	Confluence with lake Creek up river for 1.6 km.	Evenly distributed.	Some Chinook just above narrow cut.
UNUK RIVER	Lake Creek	August-13	Confluence with Clear Creek up-steam to falls.	Spawning on shallow riffles and in falls	

-continued-

Table 1.–Page 3 of 3.

River	Tributary	Spawning peak date (historic)	Survey area	Spawner distribution	Remarks
UNUK RIVER (continued)	Kerr Creek	August-14	Falls to glacial water.	Falls pool area usually has 10–20 spawning Chinook.	
CHICKAMIN RIVER	South Fork	August-18	From junction of Chickamin Branch up-river to junction of Barrier Creek	Evenly distributed.	Many chums and pinks. Semi-glacial. Survey by 10 a.m.
CHICKAMIN RIVER	Barrier Creek	August-12	From junction of South Fork to Barrier 1.6 km upstream.	Evenly distributed.	Chums in survey area.
CHICKAMIN RIVER	Butler Creek	August-10	All.	Evenly distributed.	Chums in survey area.
CHICKAMIN RIVER	Leduc Creek	August-10	Mouth to barrier.	Evenly distributed.	Chums and pinks in survey area.
CHICKAMIN RIVER	Indian Creek	August-10	All.	Evenly distributed.	Chums and pinks in survey area.
CHICKAMIN RIVER	King Creek	1 Sept.	All.	Evenly distributed.	Chums and pinks in survey area.
CHICKAMIN RIVER	Clear Falls Creek	August-10	All.	Evenly distributed.	Chums and pinks in survey area. Note 2008 disturbance in upper water-shed above falls few Chinook seen spawning since.
BLOSSOM RIVER		August-13	All.	Fairly evenly distributed. A bit higher percent spawners in head waters.	Many pinks and chums.
KETA RIVER		August-13	All.	Fairly evenly distributed.	Many pinks and chums
MARTEN RIVER	Mainstem	August-13	All.	Fairly evenly distributed.	Many pinks and chums
MARTEN RIVER	Dicks Creek	August-13	All.	Very even distribution	Moderate pinks and chums
WILSON RIVER		August-13	All.	Very even distribution	Large numbers of pinks and chums

index of the spawning escapement. Surveys will be conducted on foot, or from a Bell 206 or Hughes 500D helicopter during the peak of spawning. Each index area will be surveyed at least twice per year. The accuracy of peak escapement counts in predicting total escapement will be evaluated by comparing them with mark-recapture estimates on the Taku, Stikine, and Unuk rivers. Fish counting weirs are operated by FOC on the Little Tahltan (tributary to the Stikine River) and Klukshu (tributary to the Alsek River) rivers, and by ADF&G on the Situk River. The Situk River weir is described in a separate operational plan.

## **Comparison of Survey Method**

Several index areas are routinely surveyed by more than one method: Andrew Creek is surveyed from airplanes (ADF&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries), helicopters and by foot, while King Salmon River is surveyed from helicopter and foot survey. We will attempt to conduct these various surveys on the same day to enable comparison of the different methods. In general, foot surveys are believed to be the most accurate, followed by helicopter aerial counts, with fixed-wing aerial surveys being the least precise; however this is dependent on the system, survey conditions, and surveyor experience. The project leaders will make the final decision on which count will be considered the peak survey count.

## **DATA COLLECTION**

Only large ( $\geq 660$  mm MEF) Chinook salmon will be counted during aerial or foot surveys. Depending on observed water conditions, weather, and run timing, survey conditions will be rated as poor, normal, or excellent and recorded for each survey. For each survey area (see Appendix A1) the observer will evaluate and record the following attributes: stream level, water visibility, weather conditions (clear or overcast, wind, precipitation), and light conditions. Additional surveys will be conducted if the survey conditions are not rated normal or excellent. Raw data from all surveys (see Appendix A2 for example) will be included in the Fishery Data Series report.

When the survey is from a helicopter, the craft will fly approximately 6 to 15 m above the river bed at approximately 6 to 16 km per hour. The observer's door will be removed and the helicopter will hover sideways with observations made out of the open space. The best views are gained by leaning outside the helicopter as it travels upriver with a slight angle so the left side of the helicopter is at 10 to 30 degrees pointed upriver. This angle will differ throughout the flight and is controlled by the helicopter pilot with the objective of giving the observers the best view of the river, yet maintaining a safe flight path. Whenever possible, the sun will be kept behind the helicopter and the observer will wear polarized sunglasses to eliminate reflection. The observer will wear an inflatable life jacket, broad billed hat, and radio headset while surveying. While in the helicopter, a shoulder harness and lap belt will be used, and survival gear and a firearm will always be carried in the helicopter. Reserve fuel for the helicopter will be placed at strategic locations in the Taku River watershed (Windy, Long, and Trapper Lakes), Stikine Watershed (Tahltan Lake), along the Unuk and Chickamin rivers, and near Wilson Arm.

Foot surveys will be conducted on Andrew Creek, King Salmon River, and most of the index tributaries of the Unuk and Chickamin rivers. Foot surveys are used where aerial surveys are ineffective, and also in areas that are surveyed aerially to calibrate the foot surveys.

Training and calibrating additional Juneau- and Ketchikan-based surveyors started in 2012 and will continue for at least two years. The objective of the training flights is to allow the trainee to

become familiar with the start and stop points of each index area and the unique quirks of each system. Training flights also allow the observer to become familiar with distinguishing large Chinook salmon from the helicopter and how to count presented with various densities or mixed species congregations, which the trainer will point out. Ideally the trainee would count in a fashion similar to the trainer. Jones et al. (1998) found that counts  $\pm 25\%$  are within an acceptable range.

The trainer will be in the front seat of the helicopter and the trainee will be in the back seat. The doors will be removed to optimize the field of view. During training, the trainer will point out different species and be in communication with the trainee as much as possible. At least two training flights will be made for each index area in each system. After the training flights are completed, calibration flights will be flown the same way except there will be no communication between the trainer and the trainee. Flying with both the trainer and the trainee will be the most cost effective means to do calibration flights. It will also eliminate most of the temporal and spatial variables ensuring that both the trainer and the trainee are counting the same area given the same speed, time, and environmental conditions. Calibration flights should be conducted whenever possible and across the spatial and temporal spectrum of the project. A minimum of 2 calibrations flights should be made in each system.

## **DATA REDUCTION**

The surveyor will record start/stop times, visibility and survey conditions, and counts of live and dead large Chinook salmon for each index area. In addition, for each day's survey the surveyor will record the pilot's name, aircraft, and other comments concerning numbers of Chinook salmon < 660 mm MEF, other salmonid species, predators, and run timing. Data will be recorded in waterproof field notebooks and transferred to escapement survey forms (Appendices A3 and A4) at the regional office at least once each week. The ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries (DCF), Integrated Fisheries Database (IFDB) is the repository for all information on salmon escapement. Files will be checked for data entry errors such as incorrect dates or counts, and then the data will be entered into the IFDB. The database entry system prevents many data entry errors such as nonsensical stream codes or survey conditions.

A final, edited copy of the data, along with a data map, will be sent to ADF&G Research and Technical Services (RTS) in Anchorage electronically for archiving. The data map will include a description of all electronic files contained in the data archive, all data fields and details of where hard copies of any associated data are to be archived, if not in RTS. For this project, all escapement data is archived permanently in the IFDB. Prior to final archiving data files will be stored on the H drive under H:\REPORTS\Escapement\ESC2014.

## **DATA ANALYSIS**

Counts from foot and helicopter surveys will be tabulated for analysis by ADF&G and either estimates of total escapement or peak counts will be provided to the U.S./Canada CTC during their evaluation of progress towards rebuilding depressed stocks. Peak counts from each surveyed area will be used for the expansions in systems without total escapement estimation programs. The exact method of calculating the expansion factor  $\hat{\pi}$  and associated variance for each system is shown in Appendix B1 along with an example for the Keta River (Appendix B2).



Calibration for trainee observers to current or past observers will be on a system by system basis. The calibration constant  $r$  will be the average ratio between the trainee and current or past observer on a particular section of a system or entire system.

The equation for the calibration constant  $r$  will be as follows:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^g \frac{n_i}{t_i}}{g} \quad (1)$$

where  $n_i$  is the  $i$ th count from the new observer (trainee),  $t_i$  is the count from the traditional observer (trainer), and  $g$  is the number of times a calibration is done on that particular system. The standard error will be calculated in the conical form:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^g \left( \frac{t_i}{n_i} - r \right)^2}{g - 1}} \quad (2)$$

The numbers reported for a new observer are calculated as  $C$  by:

$$C = c \times r \quad (3)$$

where  $c$  is the count the new observer obtained and  $r$  is the calibration constant.

## BUDGET

This investigation is financed by the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 777-777K) under Project F-10-30, Job No. S-1-6.

## SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

Field activities will be initiated in each year around 22 July and will conclude around 15 September each year. Data editing and analysis will be initiated before the end of the field season. Escapement survey data will be entered into microcomputer files on a biweekly basis, and at the end of the season all data will be entered into IFDB, maintained by DCF Region I staff.

## REPORTS

A report in the Fishery Data Series containing the estimates of escapements will be completed by October 31, 2015. This report will fulfill the reporting obligation as an annual report of progress for Federal Aid Project F-10-30, Job No. S-1-6. In addition, information from the project will be summarized in reports to the Alaska Board of Fisheries, the Joint CTC, and the Transboundary River Technical Committee (TTC) of the PSC.

## RESPONSIBILITIES

Philip Richards, Fisheries Biologist III (project leader)

Duties: This position is responsible for supervision of all project activities including administrative, field, personnel and other activities. He will fly the index surveys

on the Taku River drainage, King Salmon River, and Andrew Creek, analyze the data, prepare the end-of-season memo, and write the final report. He will also train an additional Juneau-based surveyor.

Todd Johnson, Fisheries Biologist II (project leader)

Duties: Will assist in all aspects of this project. He will fly all surveys based out of Ketchikan area (Unuk, Chickamin, Blossom, and Keta rivers), conduct several foot surveys, and assist with data analysis and preparation of the final report. He will also train an additional Ketchikan-based surveyor.

Ed Jones, Salmon Research Coordinator

Duties: Responsible for overseeing all aspects of the project, including review of budgets, operational plan and reports.

Jeff Nichols, Regional Research Coordinator

Duties: Responsible for reviewing operational plans and reports.

Sarah Power, Biometrician II

Duties: Project biometrician and provides input to and approves sampling design. Reviews and performs biometrics for the operational plan, data analysis, and final report.

Troy Jaecks, Fishery Biologist II

Duties: Will train to conduct aerial surveys (Juneau-based surveyor).

Stephen Todd Fisheries Biologist I

Duties: Will train lower level technicians to conduct foot surveys of systems in the Juneau Area survey locations.

Micah Sanguinetti Fish and Wildlife Technician IV

Duties: Will train to conduct aerial surveys (Ketchikan-based surveyor), assist with operational plan, as well as train lower level technicians to conduct foot surveys of systems in the Ketchikan Area survey locations.

## REFERENCES CITED

- ADF&G. 1981. Proposed management plan for Southeast Alaska Chinook salmon runs in 1981. Regional Information Report 1J81-3.
- B. W. Elliott, R. S. Chapell, S. J. Power. 2014. Chilkat River Chinook Salmon escapement studies in 2014. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Regional Operational Plan.
- Jaacks, T., P. Richards, and P. Etherton. 2014. Spawning escapement of Chinook salmon in the Stikine River, 2014. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Operational Plan, SF1J.2014.03, Anchorage.
- Johnson, T. A. 2014. A mark-recapture experiment to estimate the escapement of Chinook salmon in the Unuk River, 2014. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Operational Plan No. SF.1J.2014.07, Anchorage.
- Jones, E.L. III, T.J. Quinn, and B. W. Van Alen. 1998. Observer accuracy and precision in aerial and foot survey counts of pink salmon in a Southeast Alaska Stream. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 18:832–846, 1998.
- Kissner, P.D., Jr. 1974. A study of Chinook salmon in southeast Alaska. Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game. Annual report 1973–1974, Project F-9-7, (AFS-41-2).
- Kissner, P.D., Jr. 1982. A study of Chinook salmon in southeast Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Annual report 1981–1982, Project F-9-14, 23(AFS-41-10).
- Mood, A.M., F.A. Graybill, and D.C. Boes. 1974. *Introduction to the theory of statistics*, Third Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York.
- Neter, J., W. Wasserman, and M.H. Kutner. 1990. *Applied linear statistical models*, third edition. Irwin Publishing Company. Homewood, IL.
- McPherson, S., D.R. Bernard, J. Clark, K. Pahlke, E. Jones, J. Der Hovanisian, J. Weller, and R. Ericksen. 2003. Stock status and escapement goals for Chinook salmon stocks in Southeast Alaska. Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Special Publication No. 03-01.
- PSC (Pacific Salmon Commission). 1993. Joint Chinook Technical Committee, 1992 Annual Report. Report TCCHINOOK (93)-2. Vancouver, B.C.
- Pahlke, K.A. 2007. Escapements of Chinook salmon in Southeast Alaska and transboundary rivers in 2005. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 07-62, Anchorage.
- Pahlke, K.A. 2010. Escapements of Chinook salmon in Southeast Alaska and transboundary rivers in 2008. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 10-71, Anchorage.
- Williams, J. T., E. L. Jones III, A. J. Craig. 2014. Estimation of Chinook salmon escapement in the Taku River, 2014. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Regional Operational Plan.

## **APPENDIX A**

Appendix A1.–Latitude and longitude of Chinook salmon survey index areas (IA) and other survey landmarks.

Way point	Description	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude
1	top of King Salmon River Index Area	N58 04.662	W134 24.073	268 ft
2	Windy Lake fuel cache, near Nakina	N59 05.262	W132 55.529	2930 ft
3	Grizzly Bar, bottom of IA1 Nakina	N59 03.494	W133 01.789	1163 ft
4	Top of IA1, Nakina River, Taku	N59 04.581	W133 01.264	993 ft
5	Top of IA2, Nakina River	N59 05.866	W133 00.646	1012 ft
6	Top of IA3, Nakina River	N59 07.560	W132 55.143	1144 ft
7	Top of IA4, Nakina Canyon, telegraph trail	N59 11.048	W132 50.210	1338 ft
8	Top of Tseta Creek, Taku River	N59 02.011	W132 13.255	2676 ft
9	Long Lake fuel cache, near Nahlin River	N58 44.557	W131 30.607	3559 ft
10	Top of IA3, Nahlin River	N58 39.557	W131 10.259	3485 ft
11	Top of IA1, Nahlin River	N58 48.541	W131 28.027	3064 ft
12	Bottom of IA1, Nahlin River	N58 53.126	W131 45.054	2308 ft
13	Bottom of Dudidontu Index Area	N58 38.816	W131 48.707	3298 ft
14	Fork with Matsatu Creek, Dudidontu	N58 35.358	W131 47.002	3167 ft
15	Top of Dudidontu IA, maybe need to be revised	N58 31.005	W131 50.585	3157 ft
18	Top end of Little Tahltan River IA, Stikine	N58 11.896	W131 28.876	2505 ft
19	Saloon Lake fuel cache, near Tahltan	N58 07.473	W131 22.752	2315 ft
20	Little Tahltan River weir	N58 07.328	W131 19.239	1942 ft
21	Bottom Takhanne River IA, Alsek	N60 05.687	W136 59.386	2340 ft
22	Top Takhanne River IA, Alsek	N60 06.493	W136 56.838	2290 ft
23	Bottom of Eulachon River IA, Unuk	N56 06.597	W131 07.293	321 ft
24	Top of Eulachon River IA, 2nd avalanche chute	N56 09.216	W131 07.884	181 ft
25	Chickamin River camp	N55 49.493	W130 52.826	53 ft
26	Bottom King Creek IA, Chickamin River	N55 50.507	W130 51.162	311 ft
27, 28	Top of King Creek IA, Chickamin	N55 49.149	W130 48.006	178 ft
29–31	Blossom river fish locations			
32	Bottom of Kowatua River IA, Taku	N58 30.324	W132 32.512	2675 ft
33	Bottom of Tatsamenie IA, Taku	N58 28.647	W132 23.273	3076 ft
34–36	Blossom river fish locations			

-continued-

Appendix A1.–Page 2 of 2.

Way point	Description	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude
37	Top of King Creek king distribution, Chickamin	N55 48.523	W130 46.940	147 ft
38	Mouth of King Creek	N55 50.441	W130 50.848	832 ft
39	Bottom Humpy Creek IA, Chickamin	N55 50.812	W130 52.309	417 ft
40	Top Humpy Creek IA, Chickamin	N55 52.076	W130 53.638	105 ft
41	Apparent barrier on Blossom River	N55 30.285	W130 28.708	254 ft
42	top end of good habitat above Barrier, Blossom R.	N55 32.398	W130 25.251	587 ft
43	Bottom of Keta River	N55 19.880	W130 29.099	1104 ft
44	First big rapids on Keta, not barrier	N55 21.357	W130 26.923	231 ft
45	Chute on Keta, not barrier	N55 25.087	W130 20.881	257 ft
46	Second rapids, not barrier	N55 26.004	W130 20.919	257 ft
47	Top of Index area Keta River	N55 27.430	W130 20.946	257 ft
49	Wheeler Creek, barrier	N57 59.437	W134 41.555	ND
50	Andrew Creek, top IA	N56 36.008	W132 09.408	ND
51	Andrew Creek, mouth	N56 38.398	W132 12.002	ND
52	Arron Creek chinook spawning area	N56 27.760	W131 57.469	ND
53	Indian Creek, Chickamin, mouth	N55 57.355	W130 41.532	ND
54	Indian Creek, Chickamin, top	N55 59.534	W130 40.017	ND
55	Lucky Jake Creek, Chickamin	N55 59.207	W130 38.001	ND
56	Ranger Paige Creek, Chickamin	N55 59.701	W130 36.985	ND
57	Butler Creek mouth	N56 02.357	W130 43.354	ND
58	Butler Creek, top	N56 02.870	W130 43.359	ND
59	Clear Falls, Chickamin	N55 58.812	W130 45.560	ND
60	Top of King Creek foot survey	N55 49.262	W130 48.449	ND
61	Keta King spots, August 2004	N55 20.562	W130 28.239	ND
62	Keta King spots, August 2004	N55 22.515	W130 24.182	ND
63	Keta King spots, August 2004	N55 24.990	W130 21.301	ND
64	Keta King spots, August 2004	N55 26.282	W130 20.809	ND

Appendix A2.—Detailed 2005 Southeast Alaska Chinook salmon escapement surveys as entered into the Division of Commercial Fisheries database (IFDB). Includes all surveys where Chinook salmon were observed, many are not used to estimate escapement.

Stream no.	Stream	Date	Mouth	Live	Dead	Total	Survey	Obs <sup>a</sup>	Use <sup>b</sup>	Comment
101-30-030	Keta River	8/16/05	0	497	0	497	H	KAP	3	Excellent visibility
101-55-040	Blossom River	8/16/05	0	328	0	328	H	KAP	3	Excellent visibility
101-55-040	Blossom River	8/25/05	0	445	0	445	H	KAP	3	
101-71-04A	Barrier Creek	8/16/05	0	25	0	25	H	KAP	2	
101-71-04A	Barrier Creek	8/25/05	0	46	0	46	H	KAP	3	
101-71-04B	Butler Creek	8/9/05	0	96	0	96	H	KAP	2	
101-71-04B	Butler Creek	8/10/05	0	118	2	120	F	KAP	2	GF Survey
101-71-04B	Butler Creek	8/16/05	0	110	5	115	H	KAP	2	
101-71-04C	Clear Creek	8/7/05	0	57	0	57	F	KAP	3	JL survey
101-71-04C	Clear Creek	8/9/05	0	46	0	46	H	KAP	3	
101-71-04C	Clear Creek	8/16/05	0	53	0	53	H	KAP	3	
101-71-04H	Humpy Creek	8/16/05	0	23	0	23	H	KAP	1	too dark
101-71-04H	Humpy Creek	8/25/05	0	38	0	38	H	KAP	3	lots of spawnouts
101-71-04I	Indian Creek	8/9/05	0	49	0	49	H	KAP	2	
101-71-04I	Indian Creek	8/9/05	0	79	3	82	F	DLM	2	Excellent Conditions
101-71-04J	Lucky Jake Creek	8/9/05	0	20	0	20	H	KAP	1	
101-71-04K	King Creek	8/16/05	0	312	0	312	H	KAP	2	schooled in the lower river
101-71-04K	King Creek	8/25/05	0	450	0	450	H	KAP	3	fish to the top of I.A.
101-71-04L	Leduc River	8/13/05	0	48	0	48	F	KAP	2	JL survey
101-71-04L	Leduc River	8/16/05	0	69	0	69	H	KAP	3	Lots in upper pools

-continued-

Appendix A2.–Page 2 of 3.

Stream no.	Stream	Date	Mouth	Live	Dead	Total	Survey	Obs <sup>a</sup>	Use <sup>b</sup>	Comment
101-71-04P	Ranger Paige Creek	8/9/05	0	19	0	19	H	KAP	1	
101-71-04S	South Fork Chickamin	8/9/05	0	29	0	29	H	KAP	1	poor visibility
101-71-04S	South Fork Chickamin	8/16/05	0	79	4	83	H	KAP	2	poor visibility
101-71-04S	South Fork Chickamin	8/25/05	0	102	4	106	H	KAP	2	Late
101-75-015	Eulachon River	8/13/05	0	89	0	89	F	KAP	3	Christy survey
101-75-015	Eulachon River	8/16/05	0	99	0	99	H	KAP	3	1 Left fork
101-75-30C	Clear Creek-Unuk R	8/5/05	0	93	0	93	F	KAP	2	Christy survey
101-75-30C	Clear Creek-Unuk R	8/9/05	0	81	0	81	H	KAP	2	
101-75-30C	Clear Creek-Unuk R	8/12/05	0	109	1	110	F	KAP	2	CH Survey
101-75-30C	Clear Creek-Unuk R	8/16/05	0	128	4	132	F	KAP	3	CH Survey
101-75-30G	Genes Lake Cr Unuk	8/6/05	0	146	0	146	F	KAP	2	Partial survey
101-75-30G	Genes Lake Cr Unuk	8/9/05	0	174	0	174	H	KAP	2	Partial survey
101-75-30G	Genes Lake Cr Unuk	8/11/05	0	305	1	306	F	KAP	2	Peak survey f+h
101-75-30G	Genes Lake Cr Unuk	8/11/05	0	205	1	206	F	KAP	2	CH Survey
101-75-30K	Kerr Creek-Unuk R	8/9/05	0	13	0	13	H	KAP	1	poor visibility
101-75-30L	Lake Creek-Unuk R	8/7/05	0	26	0	26	B	KAP	2	All on Mounds
101-75-30L	Lake Creek-Unuk R	8/9/05	0	33	0	33	H	KAP	3	28 on riffles
101-75-30L	Lake Creek-Unuk R	8/16/05	0	30	0	30	B	KAP	2	
101-75-30Q	Cripple Ck-Unuk R	8/9/05	0	308	6	314	F	KAP	3	CH survey
101-80-070	Hatchery Ck-Yes Bay	8/31/05	0	10	1	11	F	KAV	2	
106-41-015	Salmon Bay Lake W Hd	9/15/05	0	100	0	100	F	TWR	2	
106-41-032	Red Lake Head	9/16/05	0	1	0	1	F	TST	2	IN M OF OLD CHANNEL
106-44-031	Crystal Creek	6/22/05	15	0	10	25	A	WRB	2	25 SPORT BOATS @MOUTH

-continued-



## Appendix A2.–Page 3 of 3.

Stream no.	Stream	Date	Mouth	Live	Dead	Total	Survey	Obs <sup>a</sup>	Use <sup>b</sup>	Comment
106-44-031	Crystal Creek	7/19/05	350	0	7	357	A	WRB	2	70 BLW RAPIDS 130 ABV 110 RKS
106-44-031	Crystal Creek	7/27/05	550	200	0	750	A	WRB	2	60 ABV RAPIDS 90 FLOATING RKS 400 BLW CRYSTAL CR
106-44-031	Crystal Creek	7/29/05	0	280	0	280	A	TST	2	30 IN CR 250+ IN SLOUGH + 170 IN PENS
106-44-031	Crystal Creek	8/7/05	410	150	20	580	A	WRB	2	INC 10@ RAPID, 400 SLOUGH + 400 IN
106-44-031	Crystal Creek	9/1/05	80	60	0	140	A	WRB	1	PEN DRY, KINGS SPAWNING
107-40-024	Aaron Creek	8/2/05	0	40	0	40	A	WRB	2	TO MANY PINKS FOR GOOD CT
107-40-024	Aaron Creek	8/7/05	0	79	0	79	A	WRB	3	MOSTLY GLACIAL
107-40-049	Harding River	7/22/05	0	15	0	15	A	WRB	2	TO MANY CHUM FOR GOOD CT
107-40-049	Harding River	8/7/05	0	14	0	14	A	WRB	2	TO MANY PINK & CHUM FOR GOOD CT
107-40-053	Bradfield River E Fk	8/7/05	0	122	0	122	A	WRB	3	MOSTLY GLACIAL
107-40-055	Eagle R Bradfield	8/7/05	0	5	0	5	A	WRB	2	
108-40-017	Goat Ck Stikine R	7/27/05	7	0	0	7	B	TWR	1	
108-40-017	Goat Ck Stikine R	8/9/05	0	66	0	66	F	TST	2	1 JACK
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	7/18/05	80	330	1	411	A	WRB	2	140 N FK 180 ABV FKS
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	7/28/05	0	285	0	285	F	TST	2	200 IN SLOUGH 60% WATER IN OLD CHANNEL
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	8/2/05	550	500	0	1,050	A	WRB	2	100 N FK 40 MAIN FK 550 IN SLOUGH
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	8/7/05	150	740	0	890	A	WRB	2	180 N FK 440 ABV FK 120 BLW FK 150 SLOUGH
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	8/9/05	0	797	0	797	H	KAP	2	101 N fork to many pinks
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	8/9/05	0	1,671	30	1,701	F	SNF	2	185 N FK 40 IN SLOUGH 15 JACKS
108-40-020	Andrews Creek	8/15/05	0	1,015	0	1,015	H	KAP	2	165 N fork channel change

Appendix A3.-ADF&G salmon escapement survey form.

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME  
SALMON ESCAPEMENT SURVEYS

Depart Time:

Document No.

Return Time:

Year

Area

Field 1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
No.	Stream Number		Stream Name	Mo/Day	Length	Type	Mouth	Intertidal	Stream Live	Stream Dead	Species	Observer	Usage Code	Coded Remarks
01														
02														
03														
04														
05														
06														
07														
08														
09														
10														
11														
12														
13														
14														
15														

Distance: (tenths Length:  
I=Intertidal L=complete  
M=Mouth P=partial  
B=Bay U=unknown  
L=Length

Type:  
A=Aerial  
F=Foot  
B=Boat  
H=Helicopter

Coded Remarks:  
11=Fish present but not counted in Mouth  
12=Fish present but not counted in Tidal  
13=Fish present but not counted in Live  
14=Fish present but not counted in Dead

Usage Codes:  
00=Not coded yet  
01=Not useful for indexing or estimating escapement of this species.  
02= Potentially useful for indexing or estimating escapement of this species.  
03= Potentially useful as the "peak" survey count for this species.

Site Survey	Date:
# Live	Start/Stop
# Jack	# DEAD
# other	# Predators
Visibility	Water
Weather	Cord
Pilot	Air Craft
Comments	Run Timing

Site Survey	Date
# Live	Start/Stop
# Jack	# DEAD
# other	# Predators
Visibility	Water-cord:
Weather	Air Craft
Pilot	Run Timing
Comments	

## **APPENDIX B**

The expansion factor provides a means of predicting escapement in years where only an index count of the escapement is available, i.e. no weir counts or mark–recapture experiments were conducted. The expansion factor is the average over several years of the ratio of the escapement estimate (or weir count) to the index count.

*Systems where escapement is known*

On systems where escapement can be completely enumerated with weirs or other complete counting methods, the expansion factor is an estimate of the expected value of the “population” of annual expansion factors ( $\pi$ ’s) for that system:

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k \pi_y}{k} \quad (1)$$

where  $\pi_y = N_y / C_y$  is the observed expansion factor in year  $y$ ,  $N_y$  is the known escapement in year  $y$ ,  $C_y$  is the index count in year  $y$ , and  $k$  is the number of years for which these data are available to calculate an annual expansion factor.

The estimated variance for expansion of index counts needs to reflect two sources of uncertainty for any predicted value of  $\pi$ , ( $\pi_p$ ). First is an estimate of the process error ( $var(\pi)$ ); the variation across years in the  $\pi$ ’s, reflecting, for example, weather or observer-induced effects on how many fish are counted in a survey for a given escapement. Second is the sampling variance of  $\bar{\pi}$  ( $var(\bar{\pi})$ ), which will decline as we collect more data pairs.

The variance for prediction will be estimated (Neter et al. 1990):

$$\hat{var}(\pi_p) = \hat{var}(\pi) + \hat{var}(\bar{\pi}) \quad (2)$$

where:

$$\hat{var}(\pi) = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k (\pi_y - \bar{\pi})^2}{k - 1} \quad (3)$$

and:

$$\hat{var}(\bar{\pi}) = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k (\pi_y - \bar{\pi})^2}{k(k - 1)} \quad (4)$$

such that:

$$\hat{var}(\pi_p) = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k (\pi_y - \bar{\pi})^2}{k-1} + \frac{\sum_{y=1}^q (\pi_y - \bar{\pi})^2}{k(k-1)} \quad (5)$$

On systems where escapement is estimated, the expansion factor is an estimate of the expected value of the “population” of annual expansion factors ( $\pi$ ’s) for that system:

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k \hat{\pi}_y}{k} \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{\pi}_y = \hat{N}_y / C_y$  is the estimate of the expansion factor in year  $y$ ,  $\hat{N}_y$  is the estimated escapement in year  $y$ , and other terms are as described above.

The variance for prediction will again be estimated:

$$\hat{var}(\pi_p) = \hat{var}(\pi) + \hat{var}(\bar{\pi}) \quad (7)$$

The estimate of  $var(\pi)$  should again reflect only process error. Variation in  $\hat{\pi}$  across years, however, represents process error **plus** measurement error within years (e.g. the mark-recapture induced error in escapement estimation) and is described by the relationship (Mood et al. 1974):

$$V(\hat{\pi}) = V[E(\hat{\pi})] + E[V(\hat{\pi})] \quad (8)$$

This relationship can be rearranged to isolate process error, that is:

$$V[E(\hat{\pi})] = V[\hat{\pi}] - E[V(\hat{\pi})] \quad (9)$$

An estimate of  $var(\pi)$  representing only process error therefore is:

$$\hat{var}(\pi) = \hat{var}(\hat{\pi}) - \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k \hat{var}(\hat{\pi}_y)}{k} \quad (10)$$

where  $\hat{var}(\hat{\pi}_y) = \hat{var}(\hat{N}_y) / C_y^2$  and  $\hat{var}(\hat{N}_y)$  is obtained during the experiment when  $N_y$  is estimated. We can calculate:

$$\hat{var}(\hat{\pi}) = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k (\hat{\pi}_y - \bar{\pi})^2}{k-1} \quad (11)$$

and we can estimate  $var(\bar{\pi})$  similarly to as we did above:

$$\hat{var}(\bar{\pi}) = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k (\hat{\pi}_y - \bar{\pi})^2}{k(k-1)} \quad (12)$$

where both process and measurement errors need to be included.

For large  $k$  ( $k > 30$ ), equations (11) and (12) provide reasonable parameter estimates, however for small  $k$  the estimates are imprecise and may result in negative estimates of variance when the results are applied as in equation (7).

Because  $k$  is typically  $< 10$ , we will estimate  $var(\hat{\pi})$  and  $var(\bar{\pi})$  using parametric bootstrap techniques (Efron and Tibshirani 1993). The sampling distributions for each of the  $\hat{\pi}_y$  are modeled using Normal distributions with means  $\hat{\pi}_y$  and variances  $\hat{var}(\hat{\pi}_y)$ . At each bootstrap iteration, a bootstrap value  $\hat{\pi}_{y(b)}$  is drawn from each of these Normal distributions and the bootstrap value  $\hat{\pi}_{(b)}$  is randomly chosen from the  $k$  values of  $\hat{\pi}_{y(b)}$ . Then, a bootstrap sample of size  $k$  is drawn from the  $k$  values of  $\hat{\pi}_{y(b)}$  by sampling with replacement, and the mean of this bootstrap is the bootstrap value  $\bar{\pi}_{(b)}$ . This procedure is repeated  $B = 1,000,000$  times. We can then estimate  $var(\hat{\pi})$  using:

$$\hat{var}_B(\hat{\pi}) = \frac{\sum_{b=1}^B (\hat{\pi}_{(b)} - \overline{\hat{\pi}_{(b)}})^2}{B-1} \quad (13)$$

where:

$$\overline{\hat{\pi}_{(b)}} = \frac{\sum_{b=1}^B \hat{\pi}_{(b)}}{B} \quad (14)$$

and we can calculate  $var_B(\bar{\pi})$  using equations (13) and (14) with appropriate substitutions. The variance for prediction is then estimated:

-

$$\hat{var}(\pi_p) = \hat{var}_B(\hat{\pi}) - \frac{\sum_{y=1}^k \hat{var}(\hat{\pi}_y)}{k} + \hat{var}_B(\bar{\pi}) \quad (15)$$

As the true sampling distributions for the  $\hat{\pi}_y$  are typically skewed right, using a Normal distribution to approximate these distributions in the bootstrap process will result in estimates of  $var(\hat{\pi})$  and  $var(\bar{\pi})$  that are biased slightly high, but simulation studies using values similar to those realized for this application indicated that the bias in equation (15) is  $< 1\%$ .

### *Predicting Escapement*

In years when an index count ( $C_p$ ) is available but escapement ( $N_p$ ) is not known, it can be predicted:

$$\hat{N}_p = \bar{\pi} C_p \quad (16)$$

and:

$$\hat{var}(\hat{N}_p) = C_p^2 \hat{var}(\pi_p) \quad (17)$$


---



Appendix B2.—Peak aerial survey counts, estimated total spawning abundance  $\hat{N}_L$  with associated SE's and approximate 95% CIs for large Chinook salmon spawning in the Keta River 1975–2009.

Year	Survey counts	Expansion factor	$\hat{N}_L$	SE ( $\hat{N}_L$ )	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	$v(\hat{N}_L)$	CV
1975	203	3.01	611	114	388	834	12,921	18.6%
1976	84	3.01	253	47	161	345	2,212	18.6%
1977	230	3.01	692	129	440	945	16,587	18.6%
1978	392	3.01	1,180	220	750	1,610	48,181	18.6%
1979	426	3.01	1,283	239	815	1,750	56,901	18.6%
1980	192	3.01	578	108	367	789	11,559	18.6%
1981	329	3.01	990	184	629	1,352	33,939	18.6%
1982	754	3.01	2,270	422	1,442	3,097	178,256	18.6%
1983	822	3.01	2,475	460	1,573	3,377	211,858	18.6%
1984	610	3.01	1,836	342	1,167	2,506	116,670	18.6%
1985	624	3.01	1,879	349	1,194	2,563	122,087	18.6%
1986	690	3.01	2,077	386	1,320	2,835	149,279	18.6%
1987	768	3.01	2,312	430	1,469	3,155	184,937	18.6%
1988	575	3.01	1,731	322	1,100	2,362	103,666	18.6%
1989	1,155	3.01	3,477	647	2,210	4,745	418,278	18.6%
1990	606	3.01	1,824	339	1,159	2,489	115,145	18.6%
1991	272	3.01	819	152	520	1,117	23,197	18.6%
1992	217	3.01	653	122	415	891	14,765	18.6%
1993	362	3.01	1,090	203	693	1,487	41,088	18.6%
1994	306	3.01	921	171	585	1,257	29,359	18.6%
1995	175	3.01	527	98	335	719	9,602	18.6%
1996	297	3.01	894	166	568	1,220	27,658	18.6%
1997	246	3.01	741	138	471	1,011	18,975	18.6%
1998	180	<b>2.48</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>2,500</b>	<b>11.2%</b>
1999	276	<b>3.51</b>	<b>968</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>741</b>	<b>1,195</b>	<b>13,456</b>	<b>12.0%</b>
2000	300	<b>3.05</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>1,153</b>	<b>14,884</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
2001	343	3.01	1,033	192	656	1,409	36,888	18.6%
2002	411	3.01	1,237	230	786	1,688	52,965	18.6%
2003	322	3.01	969	180	616	1,323	32,510	18.6%
2004	376	3.01	1,132	211	719	1,545	44,328	18.6%
2005	497	3.01	1,496	278	951	2,042	77,449	18.6%
2006	747	3.01	2,248	418	1,429	3,068	174,962	18.6%
2007	311	3.01	936	174	595	1,277	30,326	18.6%
2008	363	3.01	1,093	203	694	1,491	41,316	18.6%
2009	172	3.01	518	96	329	707	9,278	18.6%
2010	475	3.01	1,430	266	908	1,951	70,742	18.6%
2011	223	3.01	671	125	426	916	15,592	18.6%
2012	241	3.01	725	135	461	990	18,211	18.6%
2013	493	3.01	1,484	276	943	2,025	76,206	18.6%
Averages	412		1,241					
Minimum	84		253					
Maximum	1,155		3,477					
$\bar{\pi}$			3.01					
SE $\bar{\pi}$			0.56					
var $\bar{\pi}$			0.31354					

Note: Statistics in bold come directly from mark–recapture experiments in 1998–2000; all other statistics are expanded from counts based on the relationship between counts and estimates during years with mark–recapture experiments.